

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (CAMINSSICIER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington 1977-2-2-31 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 893,023	06 27 2001	Frank O'Mahony	884.405US1	3406
-	01 03 2003			
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			SEFER, AHMED N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	

DATE MAILED: 01-03-2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/893,023	O'MAHONY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. Sefer	2826				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period fo		V 10 057 TO EVDIDE 0	MONITHY CONFIDENCE				
THE N - Extensifier: - If the r - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) May cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> (October 2002 .					
2a) □	·	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the merits is				
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
•	on of Claims Claim(a) 13 18 is/ore pending in the application	on					
 4) Claim(s) 13-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from conclusion.					
	6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊡ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	on Papers	,					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) .				
14) [A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a 15)	 The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	ovisional application has tic priority under 35 U.S	s been received. .C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) (5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/893,023

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Embodiment 3 (claims 13-18) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged and claims 1-12 and 19-25 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamura US Patent No. 5,521,541 in view of Chi US Patent No. 5,387,885 and Sano et al. (JP 2-158165).

Okamura discloses figs. 2-6 a microelectronic die comprising a clock signal source 102 to provide a clock signal; and a clock signal distribution network to distribute said clock signal to multiple clocked elements 106 within said microelectronic die, said clock signal distribution network including at least on-die interconnect section, but discloses neither the use of salphasic clocking techniques to distribute said clock signal nor an interconnect comprising first and second differential signal lines.

Chi discloses (see abstract) salphasic clocking techniques to distribute clock signals.

Sano et al disclose in figs. 4 and 5 an interconnect comprising first and second

Application/Control Number: 09/893,023

Art Unit: 2826

differential signal lines 221, 222 to carry a differential version of a clock signal, said first and second differential signal lines being substantially parallel to one another.

Therefore, it would have being obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use a salphasic clocking techniques, since that would minimize phase shifts. It would have been obvious to employ an interconnect comprising first and second differential signal lines, since that would suppress a crosstalk between channel.

Regarding claim 14, Sano et al disclose at least a trace 210 on a metal layer being capacitively coupled to and non-parallel or substantially orthogonal (as in claim 15) with said first and second differential signal lines.

Regarding claim 16, Chi discloses a sinusoidal clock signal.

4. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamura in view of Chi and Sano et al. as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Restle et al. (IEEE Symposium on VLSI Circuits Digest of Techincal Papers, 1998).

The combined references above do not specifically disclose grid-based or an H-tree within a clock distribution network.

Restle et al disclose (see page 2) H-tree and grid-based within a clock distribution network.

Therefore, it would have being obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use grid-based (as in claim 17) or H-tree (as in claim 18) clock distribution network, since that would result in zero or very nominal skew.

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Block et al. US Patent No. 6,397,375 disclose an interconnect with grid-based clock distribution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS December 23, 2002

CONTRACTOR OF 2806